



BlueCross BlueShield
of Texas

If a conflict arises between a Clinical Payment and Coding Policy and any plan document under which a member is entitled to Covered Services, the plan document will govern. If a conflict arises between a CPCP and any provider contract pursuant to which a provider participates in and/or provides Covered Services to eligible member(s) and/or plans, the provider contract will govern. "Plan documents" include, but are not limited to, Certificates of Health Care Benefits, benefit booklets, Summary Plan Descriptions, and other coverage documents. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas may use reasonable discretion interpreting and applying this policy to services being delivered in a particular case. BCBSTX has full and final discretionary authority for their interpretation and application to the extent provided under any applicable plan documents.

Providers are responsible for submission of accurate documentation of services performed. Providers are expected to submit claims for services rendered using valid code combinations from Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act approved code sets. Claims should be coded appropriately according to industry standard coding guidelines including, but not limited to: Uniform Billing Editor, American Medical Association, Current Procedural Terminology, CPT® Assistant, Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System, ICD-10 CM and PCS, National Drug Codes, Diagnosis Related Group guidelines, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual, CCI table edits and other CMS guidelines.

Claims are subject to the code edit protocols for services/procedures billed. Claim submissions are subject to claim review including but not limited to, any terms of benefit coverage, provider contract language, medical policies, clinical payment and coding policies as well as coding software logic. Upon request, the provider is urged to submit any additional documentation.

Lyme Disease Testing

Policy Number: CPCPLAB044

Version 1.0

Approval Date: April 28, 2025

Plan Effective Date: August 8, 2025

Description

The plan has implemented certain lab management reimbursement criteria. Not all requirements apply to each product. Providers are urged to review Plan documents for eligible coverage for services rendered.

Reimbursement Information:

1. For individuals with symptoms of Lyme disease and a history of travel to a region endemic for Lyme (with or without a history of a tick bite), serologic testing (2-tier testing strategy using a sensitive enzyme immunoassay/EIA or immunofluorescence assay, followed by a western immunoblot assay or FDA-cleared second EIA assay) **may be reimbursable**.
2. For individuals with a history of travel to a region endemic for Lyme, serologic testing (2-tier testing strategy using a sensitive enzyme immunoassay/EIA or immunofluorescence assay, followed by a western immunoblot assay or FDA-cleared second EIA assay) **may be reimbursable** in **any** of the following situations:
 - a. For individuals with acute myocarditis/pericarditis of unknown cause;
 - b. For individuals with meningitis, encephalitis, or myelitis;
 - c. For individuals with painful radiculoneuritis;
 - d. For individuals with mononeuropathy multiplex including confluent mononeuropathy multiplex;
 - e. For individuals with acute cranial neuropathy.
3. Serologic testing **is not reimbursable** in **any of** the following situations:
 - a. For individuals with an erythema migrans/EM rash. (Patients with skin rashes consistent with EM who reside in or who have recently traveled to an endemic area should be treated for Lyme disease);
 - b. To screen asymptomatic patients living in endemic areas;
 - c. For individuals with non-specific symptoms only (e.g., fatigue, myalgias/arthralgias);
 - d. For individuals with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis;
 - e. For individuals with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis;
 - f. For individuals with Parkinson's disease;
 - g. For individuals with dementia or cognitive decline, or new-onset seizures;
 - h. For individuals with psychiatric illness.
4. Polymerase chain reaction/PCR -based direct detection of *B. burgdorferi* in CSF samples **may be reimbursable** and may replace serologic documentation of infection in patients with a short duration of neurologic symptoms (<14 days) during the window between exposure and production of detectable antibodies.
5. For individuals who have previously tested positive for Lyme disease, repeat serologic testing **is not reimbursable**.

6. All other testing for *Borrelia burgdorferi* not described above **is not reimbursable**.
7. For the diagnosis of Lyme disease, testing of the individual tick **is not reimbursable**.

Procedure Codes

The following is not an all-encompassing code list. The inclusion of a code does not guarantee it is a covered service or eligible for reimbursement.

Codes
86617, 86618, 87475, 87476, 0041U, 0042U, 0316U

References:

1. Barbour A. Microbiology of Lyme disease - UpToDate. In: Mitty J, Steere AC, eds. *UpToDate*. 2023. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/microbiology-of-lyme-disease>
2. Hu L. Diagnosis of Lyme disease - UpToDate. In: Mitty J, ed. *UpToDate*. 2023. Accessed 1/8/21. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/diagnosis-of-lyme-disease>
3. Mead P, Schwartz A. Epidemiology of Lyme disease In: Steere AC, Hall KK, eds. *UpToDate*. 2024. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/epidemiology-of-lyme-disease>
4. Pritt BS, Mead PS, Johnson DKH, et al. Identification of a novel pathogenic *Borrelia* species causing Lyme borreliosis with unusually high spirochaetaemia: a descriptive study. *The Lancet Infectious diseases*. May 2016;16(5):556-564. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(15)00464-8
5. Adeolu M, Gupta RS. A phylogenomic and molecular marker based proposal for the division of the genus *Borrelia* into two genera: the emended genus *Borrelia* containing only the members of the relapsing fever *Borrelia*, and the genus *Borrelia* gen. nov. containing the members of the Lyme disease *Borrelia* (*Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex). *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*. Jun 2014;105(6):1049-72. doi:10.1007/s10482-014-0164-x
6. Margos G, Marosevic D, Cutler S, et al. There is inadequate evidence to support the division of the genus *Borrelia*. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology*. Apr 2017;67(4):1081-1084. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001717
7. Hyde JA. *Borrelia burgdorferi* Keeps Moving and Carries on: A Review of Borrelial Dissemination and Invasion. *Front Immunol*. 2017;8doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00114
8. Bacon RM, Kugeler KJ, Mead PS. Surveillance for Lyme disease--United States, 1992-2006. *Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries (Washington, DC : 2002)*. Oct 3 2008;57(10):1-9.
9. Cook MJ. Lyme borreliosis: a review of data on transmission time after tick attachment. *Int J Gen Med*. 2015;8:1-8. doi:10.2147/ijgm.s73791

10. CDC. Lyme Disease Surveillance and Data. Updated May 15, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/data-research/facts-stats/index.html>
11. Weitzner E, McKenna D, Nowakowski J, et al. Long-term Assessment of Post-Treatment Symptoms in Patients With Culture-Confirmed Early Lyme Disease. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. Dec 15 2015;61(12):1800-6. doi:10.1093/cid/civ735
12. Marques AR. Laboratory diagnosis of Lyme disease: advances and challenges. *Infectious disease clinics of North America*. Jun 2015;29(2):295-307. doi:10.1016/j.idc.2015.02.005
13. Schriefer ME. Lyme Disease Diagnosis: Serology. *Clinics in laboratory medicine*. Dec 2015;35(4):797-814. doi:10.1016/j.cll.2015.08.001
14. Bunikis J, Barbour AG. Laboratory testing for suspected Lyme disease. *The Medical clinics of North America*. Mar 2002;86(2):311-40.
15. John TM, Taege AJ. Appropriate laboratory testing in Lyme disease. *Cleve Clin J Med*. Nov 2019;86(11):751-759. doi:10.3949/ccjm.86a.19029
16. CDC. Clinical Testing and Diagnosis for Lyme Disease. Updated May 15, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/hcp/diagnosis-testing/index.html>
17. Halperin JJ. Chronic Lyme disease: misconceptions and challenges for patient management. *Infection and drug resistance*. 2015;8:119-28. doi:10.2147/idr.s66739
18. Waddell LA, Greig J, Mascarenhas M, Harding S, Lindsay R, Ogden N. The Accuracy of Diagnostic Tests for Lyme Disease in Humans, A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of North American Research. *PloS one*. 2016;11(12):e0168613. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168613
19. CDC. Updated CDC Recommendation for Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease. <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/68/wr/mm6832a4.htm>
20. ZEUS Scientific. ZEUS Borrelia MTTT™: A paradigm shift in testing for Lyme disease. <https://www.zeusscientific.com/what-is-mttt>
21. Davis IRC, McNeil SA, Allen W, et al. Performance of a Modified Two-Tiered Testing Enzyme Immunoassay Algorithm for Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease in Nova Scotia. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2020;58(7):e01841-19. doi:10.1128/jcm.01841-19
22. CCDR. Modified two-tiered testing algorithm for Lyme disease serology: the Canadian context. *Can Commun Dis Rep*. May 7 2020;46(5):125-131. doi:10.14745/ccdr.v46i05a05
23. Wormser GP, Schriefer M, Aguero-Rosenfeld ME, et al. Single-tier testing with the C6 peptide ELISA kit compared with two-tier testing for Lyme disease. *Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease*. Jan 2013;75(1):9-15. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.09.003
24. Igenex. Lyme ImmunoBlot. <https://igenex.com/wp-content/uploads/LymeImmunoBlot-DataSheet.pdf>
25. AAP. Lyme Disease. In: Kimberlin DW, Bernstein HH, Meissner HC, eds. *Red Book: 2021–2024 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases 32nd Edition*. American Academy of Pediatrics; 2021:482-489.
26. Igenex. Development of a sensitive PCR-dot blot assay to supplement serological tests for diagnosing Lyme disease. https://igenex.com/wp-content/uploads/Publication_Development_of_a_Sensitive_PCR-

- dot_Blot_Assay_to_Supplement_Serological_Tests_for_Diagnosing_Lyme_Disease.png.pdf
27. Ghosh R, Joung H-A, Goncharov A, et al. Rapid single-tier serodiagnosis of Lyme disease. *Nature Communications*. 2024/08/20 2024;15(1):7124. doi:10.1038/s41467-024-51067-5
 28. Joung HA, Ballard ZS, Wu J, et al. Point-of-Care Serodiagnostic Test for Early-Stage Lyme Disease Using a Multiplexed Paper-Based Immunoassay and Machine Learning. *ACS Nano*. Dec 18 2019;doi:10.1021/acsnano.9b08151
 29. Shakir SM, Mansfield CR, Hays ED, Couturier MR, Hillyard DR. Evaluation of a Novel High-Definition PCR Multiplex Assay for the Simultaneous Detection of Tick-Borne Pathogens in Human Clinical Specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. Dec 18 2019;doi:10.1128/jcm.01655-19
 30. Nigrovic LE, Lewander DP, Balamuth F, et al. The Lyme Disease Polymerase Chain Reaction Test Has Low Sensitivity. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. Dec 10 2019;doi:10.1089/vbz.2019.2547
 31. van Gorkom T, Voet W, Sankatsing SUC, et al. Prospective comparison of two enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot assays for the diagnosis of Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Clin Exp Immunol*. Mar 2020;199(3):337-356. doi:10.1111/cei.13393
 32. Sabin AP, Scholze BP, Lovrich SD, Callister SM. Clinical evaluation of a *Borrelia* modified two-tiered testing (MTTT) shows increased early sensitivity for *Borrelia burgdorferi* but not other endemic *Borrelia* species in a high incidence region for Lyme disease in Wisconsin. *Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease*. Jan 2023;105(1):115837. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2022.115837
 33. Pratt GW, Platt M, Velez A, Rao LV. Utility of Whole Blood Real-Time PCR Testing for the Diagnosis of Early Lyme Disease. *Am J Clin Pathol*. Sep 2 2022;158(3):327-330. doi:10.1093/ajcp/aqac068
 34. Arumugam S, Nayak S, Williams T, et al. A Multiplexed Serologic Test for Diagnosis of Lyme Disease for Point-of-Care Use. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2019;57(12):10.1128/jcm.01142-19. doi:doi:10.1128/jcm.01142-19
 35. Mead P, Petersen J, Hinckley A. Updated CDC Recommendation for Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. Aug 16 2019;68(32):703. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6832a4
 36. Lantos PM, Rumbaugh J, Bockenstedt LK, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), American Academy of Neurology (AAN), and American College of Rheumatology (ACR): 2020 Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Lyme Disease. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2021;doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1215
 37. ACR. Choosing wisely: The American College of Rheumatology's top 5 list of things physicians and patients should question. <https://escholarship.org/content/qt1kj5v9z2/qt1kj5v9z2.pdf?t=rs2emz&v=lg>
 38. NICE. Lyme disease. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng95/chapter/Recommendations>
 39. NICE. Lyme disease. Quality standard [QS186]. Updated July 10, 2019. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs186/chapter/Quality-statements>

Policy Update History:

Approval Date	Effective Date; Summary of Changes
04/28/2025	08/08/2025; Document updated with literature review. Reimbursement information unchanged. References revised.
09/13/2024	01/01/2025: New policy.